IMPACT OF CONSERVATION TILLAGE PRACTICES IN RICE-BLACKGRAM CROPPING SYSTEM

K SUBRAHMANIYAN, T PARTHIPAN, P VEERAMANI AND M RAJAVEL*

Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Aduthurai, Tamil Nadu, India, 606 001

Keywords: Soil penetration resistance, Soil moisture, Soil physical properties, Relay cropping

Abstract

Field experiments were conducted with two tillage practices in rice (Puddled and Non- puddled) during rainy season and three seed priming methods (Bio-seed priming with *Rhizobium*, hydropriming and no seed priming) and two foliar spray (2% DAP and 2% urea) during post rainy seasons in blackgram. The results indicated that the soil penetration resistance was found to be lesser in the soils of non-puddled tillage. The rice yield reduction due to NPTR was non-significant (less than 3 per cent). However, the soil penetration resistance was higher with the soils of blackgram sown as succeeding crop in the PTR. The blackgram yield obtained under NPTR was 10.6 per cent higher than PTR system. Bio seed priming with *Rhizobium* increased the yield by 26.0 per cent over no seed priming. The study indicated that NPTR showed a positive impact on the yield in the rice-blackgram relay cropping system.

Introduction

Rice is traditionally grown by transplanting seedlings in puddle fields where the water requirement is 1500 mm, out of which 200-250 mm is used for carrying out puddling operation only. Puddling also affects the soil health due to dispersion of soil particles, increase the soil compaction and make tillage operations difficult in succeeding crops requiring much energy. However, puddle transplanted rice requires large amounts of energy, water and labour, which are becoming increasingly scarce and expensive (Bhushan *et al.* 2007). In puddled soil, physical properties were adversely affected due to disturbance in the soil aggregates, permeability in subsurface layers and formation of hard pans at shallow depths (McDonald *et al.* 2006). Hence there has been increasing trend towards conservation agriculture in many countries over the past few years (Chhokar *et al.* 2007). Research reports have indicated that non-puddle transplanted rice produced yields similar to that under conventional puddling with minimized expenses on field preparation (Haque 2009). Since blackgram is grown under zero tillage condition as relay crop, the impact of non-puddled transplanted rice cultivation on the yield of succeeding blackgram grown under zero tillage condition is unknown, which needs to be assessed in rice-blackgram cropping system.

Soil compaction is commonly known to be caused by different tillage methods, use of either power operated or bullock drawn machineries for puddling, transplanting, intercultural operations and surface (Batey 2009). To quantify the vertical stress in terms of degree of compaction especially in adoption of no tillage immediately after employing combine harvester, measurement of soil penetration resistance (SPR) to quantify the soil quality and to identify the layers with increased degree of compaction would be a meaningful approach (Moraes *et al.* 2013). Soil Penetration Resistance (SPR) is a rapid method to assess and characterize the changes in soil compaction or soil strength within the soil profile in different depths (Koolen and Kuipers 1983), which is a function of several mechanical properties.

^{*}Author for correspondence: <subrah_arul@yahoo.com>.

Application of foliar nutrients at appropriate stages of growth become important for their efficient utilization and better performance of the crops especially in rice fallow pulses (Ganapathy *et al.* 2008). Though application of DAP (2%) during flowering and 15 days after first spray is recommended to increase the yield of rice fallow black gram application problems in DAP restricts its wider use. In addition, higher cost of DAP and its limited availability in the market in is one of the reasons for its non-adoption. However, Das and Jana (2015) earlier observed 5.8 per cent higher yield in blackgram due to foliar spray of 2 % urea over DAP. Seed priming is a pre sowing seed treatment method, which enhances germination, crop establishment and seedling vigour (Musa *et al.* 2001). Choudhry *et al.* (2017) observed that Bio-priming with *Rhizobium* significantly increased seed yield of greengram as compared to un-inoculated treatments. Therefore, an experiment was conducted to study the impact of tillage practices adopted in the rice crop along with bio-priming and foliar spray of nutrients on the succeeding blackgram grown as relay cropping under no till condition.

Materials and Methods

Field experiments were conducted for 4 years during the rainy (August-December) and post rainy seasons of 2016-2017,2017-2018,2018-2019 and 2019-2020 (December- March) at Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Aduthurai (11⁰ 01["] N, 79⁰ 48["] E, 19.5 m altitude). The study area is characterized by a tropical climate with distinct wet and dry seasons with annual rainfall of 1169.4 mm (Subrahmaniyan et al. 2021). The experiment was laid out in split plot design (SPD) with two tillage systems viz, Puddle (PTR) and Non puddle transplanting (NPTR) for rice and three seed priming methods (bio-seed priming, hydropriming and no priming) in main plots and two foliar spray of nutrients (DAP 2% and Urea 2%) in sub plots for blackgram. The study commenced with transplanting of rice variety (CR 1009) in puddle and non-puddled condition during South West Monsoon season (August- December). The plot size for rice was 40x25 m each for puddled and non-puddled treatments. The bio priming and foliar spray of nutrients were imposed in blackgram by maintaining a plot size of 5x4 m. All the treatments were replicated thrice. In non-puddled condition, minimum tillage of dry ploughing with cultivator followed by rotavator was done. Subsequently after a simple wetting of soil with 50 mm of irrigation water, transplanting was done with paddy transplanter. The black gram cultivar ADT-3 was sown 7-10 days prior to harvest of paddy crop by adopting a seed rate of 30 kg/ha. Bio-seed priming with Rhizobium and hydropriming for 2 hrs and No priming (control) was done prior to sowing. Foliar application of DAP 2 % spray and 2 % urea was done at flowering and 15 days after. The treatments were replicated thrice. Soil of the experimental site was clayey soil with a pH 7.4, low in organic carbon (0.30 %) and medium in available nitrogen (270 kg/ha), high in available phosphorus (30 kg/ha) and medium in available potassium (280 kg/ha). Seedling establishment and germination count was taken on 15 days of after transplanting (DAT) and sowing (DAS) respectively in rice and blackgram.

The soil penetration resistance (SPR) sampling was performed with Hand penetrometer Eijkelkamp, minimal design (measuring at each point, reaching up to 1.0 m. depth. with an accuracy of 1000 MPa. to measure the penetration resistance in each treatment randomly in six points at three depths (0-5, 5-10 and 10-15 cm). At each sampling points, the measurements were made with constant speed at different soil depths. The soil penetration resistance in each treatment is the mean of the six measurements in each depth. The growth and yield attributes data were observed at the time of rice and blackgram harvest. The grain yield was measured as total yield per plot and converted to kg/ha. Data were analysed statistically as per the method suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

Results and Discussion

The pooled mean of three years data is presented here and discussed. The data on SPR in rice soils indicated that tillage practices showed a great influence on soil penetration resistance irrespective of the soil depth (Table 1). No significant difference in soil penetration resistance at 0-10, 10-20 and 20-30 cm soil depths at the time of planting was observed between NPTR and PTR. Whereas soil penetration resistance observed at 30 DAT indicated that the soil penetration resistance was higher with PTR in all the three depths though it was non-significant. However, the soil penetration resistance at 60 DAT and harvest was significantly higher with PTR at all the three depths. The blocking of macropores and translocation of dispersed particles during puddling had created soil compaction and the creation resistance at all the three depths. Similarly, Rezaei *et al.* (2012) observed a dense plough pan due to puddling in which soil has been softened by flooding for several days and layer of soft mud was created. In contrast to the present finding, the decrease in penetration resistance by puddling due to loosening and softening of the paddled layer has also been reported by Hemmat and Taki (2003). Irrespective of the tillage practices, the soil penetration resistance increased with depths at all the stages of observation.

The growth and yield attributes were not significantly influenced by the tillage practices techniques (Table 2). Data on plant density at 15 DAT indicated that establishment of seedlings were uniform in both PTR and NPTR. No significant variation was found in the number of hills/m² which indicated that the suitability of non-puddled soils for machine transplanting which could able to maintain the population per unit area. Though PTR had more number of panicles/m² (324), number of grains/panicle (188) and higher 1000 grain weight (24.87 g) as compared to NPTR, the difference was non-significant. Similarly, no variation between NT and PT was also observed on the number of effective tiller $hill^{-1}$ and 1000 grains mass by Haque and Bell (2019). The grain yield obtained with PTR (6440 kg/ha) was comparable with NPTR (6288 kg/ha) and the yield improvement over NPTR was very meagre was (< 3.0 %). The lesser reduction in rice grain yield with NPTR was associated with no significant reduction in number of panicles/m² and 1000 grain weight which might be due to less post anthesis crop stress. Sharma et al. (1995) compared the performance of full soil puddling with single tillage in transplanted rice and reported similar rice yield in PTR and NPTR. Baker and Saxton (2007) also observed that though slight reduction in the yield in the first year, which could be overcome or even averted with increase in soil fertility. Haque et al. (2016) also reported that NPTR establishment had no negative effect on rice yields across the seasons and due to reduced cost of production, higher net income was obtained with NPTR.

The soil penetration resistance increases as the crop stage advances (Table 3). Significant increase in the soil penetration resistance due to puddling was observed at all the stages irrespective of the depths. The soil penetration resistance at the time of sowing of blackgram sowing was equal at 0-5 cm depth in both non-puddled and puddled soils. While at 5-10 cm and 10-15 cm depth, it was 40 and 70 Mpa, respectively with non-puddled soils as compared to puddled soils (50 and 80 Mpa). In the modified tillage method of non-puddled soils, the soil penetration resistance at 30 DAS was 520, 540 and 640 Mpa at 0-5, 5-10 and 10-15 cm soil depth, respectively was lesser as compared to puddled soil (600, 620 and 680 Mpa). Similarly, the soil penetration resistance at the time of harvest was also highest with the puddled soils, 720,760 and 770 Mpa at 0-5, 5-10 and 10-15 cm soil depth, respectively. Earlier Adamchuck *et al.* (2004) also reported that number of passes of agricultural machinery creates mechanical resistance in the surfaces of soil and thick layers of soil under plough pan due to soil compaction.

Treatments		0-1	0- 10 cm			10-2	10-20 cm			20-30 cm	cm	
	0 DAT	30 DAT	60 DAT	0 DAT 30 DAT 60 DAT Harvest 0 DAT 30 DAT 60 DAT Harvest 0 DAT 30 DAT 60 DAT Harvest	0 DAT	30 DAT	60 DAT	Harvest	0 DAT	30 DAT	60 DAT	Harvest
PTR	13	107	110	130	23	133	147	157	33	193	200	210
NPTR	9	73	93	93	17	107	107	173	26	130	140	147
CD (0.05)	NS	11	12	12	NS	8	14	10	NS	12	16	16
DAT- Days after transplanting NS- Non-significant	transplantin	g NS- Non-	-significant									
Table 2. Growth and yield attributes and yield of rice as affected by different tillage practices.	vth and yie	ld attribut	tes and yiel	d of rice as	affected by	y different 1	illage prac	tices.				

~
in rice crop
C
ic
ī
S
tic
e practi
Id
ge
illa
y ti
ĺ.
ced
ene
Π
ij.
as
Kpa) a
K
e (
Juc
Sta
esi
R
ior
rat
leti
Cen
II
Se
÷
ole
Tab

Treatments	Plant density /m ²	DMP at harvest (kg/ha)	No. of panicles/m ²	No. of grains / panicle	1000 grain weight (g)	Yield (kg/ha)
PTR	33	9876	324	188	24.68	6440
NPTR	33	9788	320	186	24.63	6288
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
NS- Non-significant	nt					

		0-5 cm			5-10 cm			10-15 cm	
	0 DAS	30 DAS	Harvest	0 DAS	30 DAS	Harvest	0 DAS	30 DAS	Harvest
Tillage practices									
PTR	20	600	720	50	620	740	80	680	770
NPTR	20	520	600	40	540	640	70	640	760
CD (0.05)	NS	20	44	NS	28	42	NS	NS	NS
Seed priming									
Bio priming	30	540	660	45	580	069	50	660	765
Hydropriming	30	540	660	45	580	069	50	660	765
Control	30	540	660	45	580	069	50	660	765
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Foliar spray									
DAP 2 %	30	560	660	45	580	069	75	660	765
Urea 2%	30	560	660	40	580	069	75	660	765
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Table 4. Growth and yield parameters and yield of blackgram as influenced by tillage practices, seed priming and foliar spray.	l yield paramete	ers and yield o	of blackgram	as influenced	l by tillage p	ractices, seed	priming and	l foliar spray.	
Prontinionato	Dlout	Dlout b	winht at	No of	No of	No of coode /	100 cond uno	inht	Viold
11 caulicility	density/m ²	harve	r taut nergut at harvest (cm)	Pods/plant	p. ovi p	pod	100 seeu weigin	Jugur	r iciu (kg/ha)
Tillage practices									
Puddled TP	27	2,	27.0	24	J	6.2	4.73		536
Unpuddled TP	25	2	26.8	27	v	6.2	4.70		595
CD (0.05)	NS	Z	NS	0.8	1	NS	NS		12
Seed priming									
Bio priming	26	2	27.0	30.0	Ŷ	6.7	4.86		640
Hydropriming	26	2	7.2	24.0	v	5.2	4.64		548
Control	26	5	6.5	22.5	~,	5.7	4.50		508
CD (0.05)	NS	C	1.2	0.4)	0.1	0.16		20
Foliar spray									
DAP 2 %	26	5	26.0	24	v	6.1	4.62		540
Urea 2%	26	2,	27.8	27	v	6.3	4.81		590

Id IOHAL SPEAY	foliar spray	•	=
IC TOLLAL SPI	foliar spr		ay
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	foliar s		ğ
IC IOITS	folia		1
OI DI	2	;	lla
⊒		4	2
	p	•	g
2			a
Po Bo	ar		훱
Ξ	ng ar	•	Ē
	ming ar	•	
2	riming ar	,	d E
-	I priming ar		ee
naa	eed priming ar		s.
, seeu	, seed priming ar		ŝ
ies, seeu	es, seed priming ar	•	ĕ
inces, seed	tices, seed priming ar		ă
ractices, seeu	ractices, seed priming ar		ā
practices, seeu	practices, seed priming an		ŝ
ge practices, seed	ge practices, seed priming ar		a
liage practices, seed	llage practices, seed priming ar	•	
ullage practices, seed	tillage practices, seed priming ar		6
by unlage practices, seed	N.		ea
ed by unlage practices, seed	N.		ž
nceu oy unlage practices, seeu	N.		ne
uenced by unlage practices, seed	N.	ξ	Ē
muencea by unlage practices, seed	uenced by 1	•	
s influenced by unlage practices, seed	uenced by 1		ä
as inituenced by unage practices, seed	as influenced by 1	1	a)
pa) as influenced by unlage practices, seed	uenced by 1	ì	2
npa) as influenced by ullage practices, seed	pa) as influenced by t	`	é
e (npa) as influenced by unage practices, seed	as influenced by 1		S
ince (rpa) as influenced by unlage practices, seed	pa) as influenced by t		Sta
stance (n pa) as influenced by unlage practices, seed	pa) as influenced by t	•	S
ssistance (npa) as influenced by unlage practices, seed	pa) as influenced by t	¢	ž
Resistance (npa) as influenced by unlage practices, seed	esistance (Kpa) as influenced by t		B
on Resistance (rpa) as influenced by unlage practices, seed	pa) as influenced by t	•	Ē
ation resistance (r.pa) as influenced by unlage practices, seed	on Resistance (Kpa) as influenced by 1		Ë
tration resistance (r.pa) as influenced by tillage practices, seed	ration Resistance (Kpa) as influenced by 1		ne
neuration Resistance (hpa) as influenced by unlage practices, seed	on Resistance (Kpa) as influenced by 1	¢	Fe
reneuration resistance (r.pa) as influenced by unlage practices, seed	enetration Resistance (Kpa) as influenced by 1		Ξ
ou renetration resistance (r.pa) as initiuenced by unlage practices, seed	enetration Resistance (Kpa) as influenced by 1	ζ	ž
Soll Penetration Resistance (Kpa) as influenced by unlage practices, seed	oil Penetration Resistance (Kpa) as influenced by t	•	5
3. Soll reneuration resistance (hpa) as influenced by tillage practices, seed	oil Penetration Resistance (Kpa) as influenced by t		
ole 3. Soll reneuration resistance (r.pa) as influenced by unage practices, seed	oil Penetration Resistance (Kpa) as influenced by t		e
priming ai			by tillage practices, seed priming ai
		-	Oli
	foli		
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	foliar s		d
Id IOLLAL SPL	foliar spr		ay
IC TOLLAR SPEAY	foliar spray	•	=

INFLUENCE OF SEED PRIMING AND CONSERVATION TILLAGE

523

NS- Non-significant

The grain yield of blackgram varied significantly between the two tillage systems due to the variations in the growth and yield parameters (Table 4). NPTR had favoured the succeeding blackgram by obtaining a yield of 595 kg/ha as compared to PTR (536 kg/ha). Similarly, Fujisaka *et al.* (1994) also reported that puddled transplanted rice cultivation results in lower grain yield of wheat in the rice—wheat system, mainly due to weakening of soil structure and the development of sub-surface hardpans. Irrespective of the tillage system bio-seed priming significantly increased the grain yield which was 16.7 and 26.0 per cent increase over hydro priming and no seed priming, respectively. Umair *et al.* (2011) observed that bio-seed priming increased the seed yield of greengram considerably through significant increase in nodulation, nitrogen fixation and nutrient uptake. Between the two foliar spray of macro nutrients, though no significant difference in yield parameters was observed, urea 2 % increased the grain yield by 9.2 per cent over DAP 2 %. The foliar nutrients might have supplemented the nutrient demand of the crop at the critical stage, resulting in better growth and development of the crop and ultimately the yield attributing characters and enhanced positive source-sink gradient of photosynthates translocation guaranteeing seed formation and better grain-filling (Mohan Raj *et al.* 2018).

References

- Adamchuck VI, Skotnikov AV, Speichinger JD and Kocher MF 2004. Development of an instrumented deep-tillage implement for sensing of soil mechanical resistance. Tran. Amer. Soc. Agri. Bio. Eng. 47: 1913-1919.
- Baker CJ and Saxton KE. 2007. No-tillage seeding in conservation agriculture (2nd ed., p. 326). Rome, Italy: CAB Food Agri. Organ. United Nations (FAO).
- Batey T 2009. Soil compaction and soil management a review. Soil Use Manag. 25: 335-345.
- Bhushan L, Ladha JK, Gupta RK, Singh S, Tirol-Padre A, Saharawat YS, Gathala M and Pathak H 2007. Saving of water and labor in rice-wheat systems with no-tillage and direct seeding technologies. Agron. J. 99: 1288-1296.
- Chhokar RS, Sharma RK, Jat GR, Pundir AK and Gathala MK 2007. Effect of tillage and herbicides on weeds and productivity of wheat under rice-wheat growing system. Crop Prot. **26**: 1689-1696.
- Choudhary M, Patel, BA, Meena, VS, Yadav RP and Ghasal PC 2017. Seed bio-priming of green gram with *Rhizobium* and levels of nitrogen under sustainable Agriculture. Leg. Res. **42**(2): 205-210.
- Das SK and Jana K 2015. Effect of foliar spray of water-soluble fertilizer at pre flowering stage on yield of pulses. Agric. Sci. Digest. 35(04): 275-279.
- Fujisaka S, Harrington L and Hobbs PR 1994. Rice–wheat in South Asia: Systems and long-term priorities established through diagnostic research. Agr. Sys. 46: 169-187.
- Ganapathy M, Baradhan G and Ramesh N 2008. Effect of foliar nutrition on reproductive efficiency and grain yield of rice fallow pulses. Leg. Res. **31**(2): 142-144.
- Gomez KA and Gomez AA 1984. Statistical procedure for agricultural research. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Haque ME 2009. On-farm evaluation of non-puddled transplanting on bed, strip, and single pass shallow tillage for boro rice cultivation. Presented at the Annual Meeting of ACIAR Funded Rice-Maize Project. BRAC Centre, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- Haque, ME and Bell RW 2019. Partially mechanized non-puddled rice establishment: on-farm performance and farmers' perceptions. Plant Prod. Sci. 22(1): 23-45.
- Haque ME, Bell RW, Islam MA and Rahman MA 2016. Minimum tillage unpuddled transplanting: An alternative crop establishment strategy for rice in conservation agriculture cropping systems. Field Crops Res. 185: 31-39.
- Hemmat A and Taki O 2003. Comparison of compaction and puddling as pre-planting soil preparation for mechanized rice transplanting in very gravelly Calcisols in central Iran. Soil Till. Res. **70**: 65-72.

- Koolen AJ and Kuipers H 1983. Agricultural soil mechanics. Advanced series in agricultural sciences, 13, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany.
- Mcdonald A, Riha S, Duxbury J, Steenhuis T and Lauren J 2006. Soil physical responses to novel rice cultural practices in the rice–wheat system: comparative evidence from a swelling soil in Nepal. Soil Till. Res. **86**: 163-175.
- Mohan Raj N, Subrahmaniyan K, Umamageswari C and Vanitha K 2018. Growth and Yield of Irrigated Blackgram as Influenced by Different Land Configurations and Foliar Nutrients in Cauvery Delta Zone of Tamil Nadu. Res. J. Agri. Sci. **9**(5): 1107-1109.
- Moraes MT, Debiasi H, Franchini JC and Silva VR 2013. Soil penetration resistance in a Rhodic Eutrudox affected by machinery traffic and soil water content. Eng. Agríl. **33**: 748-757.
- Musa AM, Harris D, Johansen C and Kumar J 2001. Short duration chickpea to replace fellow after AMAN rice: The role of on-farm seed priming in the high barind tract of Bangladesh. Exp. Agri. **37**: 509-521.
- Rezaei MM, Tabatabaekoloor R, Mousavi Seyedi SR and Aghili Nategh N 2012. Effects of puddling intensity on the in-situ engineering properties of paddy field soil. Aust. J. Agri. Eng. **3**(1): 22-26.
- Sharma PK, Ingram KT and Harnpichitvitaya D 1995. Subsoil compaction to improve water use efficiency and yields of rainfed lowland rice in coarse-textured soils. Soil Till. Res. **36**: 33-44.
- Subrahmaniyan K, Veeramani P and Zhou WJ 2021. Does heat accumulation alter crop phenology, fibre yield and fibre properties of sunnhemp (*Crotalaria juncea* L.) genotypes with changing seasons?. J. Int. Agri. **20**(9): 2395–2409.
- Umair A, Ali S, Hayat R, Ansar M and Tareen MJ 2011. Evaluation of seed priming in mung bean (*Vigna radiata*) for yield, nodulation and biological nitrogen fixation under rainfed conditions. Afr. J. Biotech. 10(79): 18122-18129.

(Manuscript received on 20 July, 2023; revised on 12 September, 2024)